

## Interprofessional Cancer Research Training – Marriott et al. Appendix C. Logic Model and Analysis Plan for the Knight Scholars Program

## Logic Model

A logic model was used to align evaluation with the program (Table C1).

## Table C1. Logic model guiding evaluation of the Knight Scholars Program

Overarching Goal of the Knight Scholars Program To increase the number and diversity of students who successfully pursue a career in cancer research, through creating a program that provides academic and local community support to high school students to enhance STEM identity and STEM resilience, regardless of race/ ethnicity or place of birth.

	Specific Aims	
1) Increase the number of	<ol><li>Develop ongoing support for</li></ol>	<ol><li>Create a culture of shared</li></ol>
underrepresented Oregon high school	students in their "home community"	experience through student
students who participate in cancer	for continued engagement in STEM	involvement in cancer-related
research experiences.	activities	community programs
	Activities and Strategies	
- Alignment with OnTrack OHSU for	·8 day residential teacher	· Clinical shadowing in students'
diverse student recruitment	professional development (TPD)	communities
• Student training experiences of 1-	program	- Local research projects that identify
week, 1-month and 3-months to	- Formal links to local OHSU	local cancer issues to increase
promote research careers across	research liaisons who work with	relevance
cancer continuum		- Outreach in community and student
- Psychosocial support of students in		dissemination about local needs
Near pear mentaring	ARECS/STEWINUDS	integration of experiences
- Near peer-mentoring Method	and Data Sources for Measuring	
·Educational cohort to assess change	·Pre/post teacher change in	- Partner surveys and interviews
over time, compared to peer	efficacy compared to teacher	- Coding the quality and type (e.g.
comparison groups	comparison group	clinical outreach) of shadowing
·Collection of demographics and	- Monthly check-ins with teachers	experiences: rubrics will assess
student identity factors in STEM and	and liaisons on needs and issues	feedback from student and partner
research	- Student outcomes in STEM using	perspectives.
- Feedback & monthly check-ins w/	annual surveys	
students	, ,	
- Pre-post change in research mentors		
	Proximal Indicators of Success	
<ul> <li># applications from diverse, rural</li> </ul>	·# applications from target regions	<ul> <li># of partners and shadowing</li> </ul>
students	-Interim assessment of TPD	experiences in local hospitals and
- Interim assessment of student	ratings	non-profits.
feedback to identify programmatic	- # and type of collaborations and	-Interim feedback to ensure spectrum
refinements	student interactions with AHECs,	of cancer continuum is represented
- Coding of cancer thematic areas	rural campuses, STEM hubs	
most interesting to students to		
Increase # trainings	Outcomes	
Engaged HS students interested in	Toochors who fool propored to	Notwork of cross soctor
refurning for additional training in	share cancer career trajectories	collaborations across state, with aim
	with students guide students'	to roduce cancer through research
-Grad students with mentorship	community projects	education and training
experience		cuddalon, and training
	Impacts	1
-Participation will increase students'	·Improved TPD for educators that	<ul> <li>Increased # of statewide collaborative</li> </ul>
STEM interest, identity (self-efficacy,	raise awareness of cancer	partnerships in cancer research.
academic identity, growth mindset)	careers	-Increased community awareness of
and STEM resilience (motivational	·Support of high school students in	local cancer issues and support of
resilience) to pursue research	preparing for STEM success	local students in cancer research

## Analysis Plan of the Knight Scholars Program

## Timepoints and REDCap variable naming conventions

Surveys were administered online in REDCap. Students were sent surveys before and

after the program, with timing conventions and REDCap variable names described in

Table C2. When the 2020 summer program was cancelled due to COVID-19, a follow

up survey was sent to students to understand impact.

Table C2.	Timepoints	for Anal	ysis and	Variables i	n REDCap
-----------	------------	----------	----------	-------------	----------

Timepoint	Variable Suffix
KSP Introduction- PRE	pre1
KSP Introduction- POST	post1
KSP Introduction- Comparison	comp1
KSP Introduction Follow Up	f1
KSP Immersion- PRE	pre2
KSP Immersion- POST	post2
KSP Immersion- Comparison	_comp2

## Instrument Order and Assessment Groups

Instruments (e.g., questions, sources) and described in sections below. The timeline of administration to intervention and comparison students is shown in Table C3.

Instrument	Items	Introduction Program			Immersion Program			
		Interv Pre	Interv Post	Comp	Interv Pre	Interv Post	Comp	
BIS-Brief	8	Х		Х	х		Х	
Grit-S	8	Х		Х	х		Х	
Science Self- Efficacy	24	х		х	х		х	
Mindset	5	Х		Х	х		Х	
Science Identity	6	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	
Motivation (SDT- Science)	21	х	х	х	х	х	х	
Attitudes about Biomedical Research	8	х	х	х	х	х	х	
STEM interest	22	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
URSSA*	5		Х	Х		Х	Х	
Intervention Group Post-test	1		х			x		
Comparison Group Questions	3			х			х	

**Table C3**. Instrument order and assessment timeline for the Knight Scholars Program

\*Different prompt for comparison and intervention groups

## **Statistical Analysis Plan**

The data analysis plan for evaluation instruments is described in Table C4.

Table C4. Statis	tical analysis fo	or each instrument
------------------	-------------------	--------------------

Instrument	Analysis				
BIS-Brief	T Test: pre x comp				
Grit-S	T Test: pre x comp				
Science Self-Efficacy	T Test: pre x comp				
Mindset	T Test: pre x comp				
Science Identity	Paired t test: pre x post; T Test: post x comp				
Motivation (SDT-Science)	Paired t test: pre x post; T Test: post x comp				
Attitudes about Biomedical Research	Paired t test: pre x post; T Test: post x comp				
STEM interest	Paired t test: pre x post; T Test: post x comp				
URSSA*	T Test: post x comp				
Intervention Group Post-test	Qualitative analysis				
Comparison Group Questions	Qualitative analysis				

#### Measures

#### Impulsivity (BIS-Brief)

Source: Steinberg, L., Sharp, C., Stanford, M. S., & Tharp, A. T. (2013). New tricks for an old measure: The development of the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale–Brief (BIS-Brief). *Psychological Assessment*, *25*(1), 216-226. doi:10.1037/a0030550

Read each statement and mark one response for each question. Do not spend too much time on any statement. Answer quickly and honestly.									
	Variable Name	Rarely/ Never (1)	Occasionally (2)	Often (3)	Almost Always (4)				
1. I act on the spur of the moment.	Imp_spur								
2. I do things without thinking.	Imp_dowothk								
3. I say things without thinking.	Imp_saywothk								
<ol> <li>I plan tasks carefully.</li> </ol>	Imp_plantask								
5. I am a careful thinker.	Imp_carethk								
6. I concentrate easily.	Imp conceasy								
7. I don't pay attention.	Imp_noatt								
8. I am self-controlled	Imp_selfcont								

## BIS-Brief Scoring

\*Reverse scored items

- 4. I plan tasks carefully. (Imp\_plantask\_RevSc)
- 5. I am a careful thinker. (Imp\_carethk\_RevSc)

- 6. I concentrate easily. (Imp\_conceasy\_RevSc)
- 8. I am self-controlled (Imp\_selfcont\_RevSc)

Global score: Bis-B\_total = Imp\_spur+ Imp\_saywothk+ Imp\_dowothk+Imp\_plantask\_RevSc+ Imp\_carethk\_RevSc+Imp\_conceasy\_RevSc+ Imp\_noatt+Imp\_selfcont\_RevSc

## **Grit-S Scale**

This is the short version (8 items) of the longer 12-item instrument.

Source:

Duckworth, A. L., & Quinn, P. D. (2009). Development and validation of the Short Grit Scale (GRIT–S). Journal of personality assessment, 91(2), 166-174.

Here are a number of statements that may or may not apply to you. There are no right or wrong									
answers, so just answer honestly, considering how you compare to most people.									
	Name	all like me (1)	much like me (2)	like me (3)	like me (4)	very much like me (5)			
1. New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones	grit_new								
2. Setbacks don't discourage me.	grit_setbacks								
3. I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time but later lost interest.	grit_lostint								
4. I am a hard worker.	grit hardwkr								
5. I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one.	grit_goaldiff								
6. I have difficulty maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete.	grit_difffocus								
7. I finish whatever I begin.	grit finish								
8. I am diligent.	grit_diligent								

## **Grit-S Scale Scoring**

\*Reverse scored items

1. New ideas and projects sometimes distract me from previous ones. (grit\_new\_revsc)

3. I have been obsessed with a certain idea or project for a short time but later lost interest. (grit lostint revsc)

5. I often set a goal but later choose to pursue a different one. (grit\_goaldiff\_revsc)

6. I have difficulty maintaining my focus on projects that take more than a few months to complete. (grit\_diffocus\_revsc)

Global Score: grit\_s\_total = sum(grit\_new\_revsc, grit\_setbacks, grit\_lostint\_revsc, grit\_hardwkr, grit\_goaldiff\_revsc, grit\_difffocus\_revsc, grit\_finish, grit\_diligent

## Science Self Efficacy (SSSE)

The Sources of Science Self-Efficacy Scale was developed by Usher and Parajes (2009) for the domain of math, which can be adapted for science as defined by Marriott et al., 2019.

Sources:

Usher, E.L. and Pajares, F. (2009). Sources of Self-Efficacy in Mathematics: A Validation Study. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 34(1), 89–101.,

doi:10.1016/j.cedpsych.2008.09.002.

Marriott, L.K., Coppola, L., Mitchell, S.H., Bouwma-Gearhart, J., Zhen, Z. Shifrer, D., Feryn, A.B., and J. Shannon (2019). Opposing effects of impulsivity and mindset on science selfefficacy and STEM interest in adolescents. PLOS One. 14(8): e0201939. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201939

These questions refer to your attitudes about science. Let us know how true or false they are for you.

		Definitely	Mostly	A little	A little	Mostly	Definitely
	Variable Name	False (0)	False (1)	bit False (2)	bit True (3)	True (4)	True (5)
1. I make excellent grades on science tests	SSE_scitest						
<ol> <li>I have always been successful with science</li> </ol>	SSE_success						
3. Even when I study very hard, I do poorly in science	SSE_hardstudy						
4. I got good grades in science on my last report card	SSE_scigrades						
5. I do well on science assignments	SSE_sciassignm ents						
<ol> <li>I do well on even the most difficult science assignments</li> </ol>	SSE_difficultassi gn						
<ol> <li>Seeing adults do well in science pushes me to do better</li> </ol>	SSE_rolemodels						
8. When I see how my science teacher solves a problem, I can picture myself solving the problem in the same way	SSE_observelea rning						
9. Seeing kids do better than me in science pushes me to do better	SSE_peerpush						

10. When I see how another student solves a science problem, I can see myself solving the problem in the same way	SSE_mirrorpeer			
11. I imagine myself working through challenging science problems successfully	SSE_scichallpro bs			
12. I compete with myself in science	SSE_selfcompeti tion			
13. My science teachers have told that I am good at learning science	SSE_teachreass ure			
14. People have told me that I have a talent for science	SSE_talentreass ure			
15. Adults in my family have told me what a good science student I am	SSE_famreassur e			
16. I have been praised for my ability in science	SSE_scipraise			
17. Other students have told me that I'm good at learning science	SSE_peergoodle arn			
18. My classmates like to work with me in science because they think I'm good at it	SSE_peerswork good			
19. Just being in science class makes feel stressed and nervous	SSE_classstress			
20. Doing science work takes all of my energy	SSE_exhausting			
21. I start to feel stressed-out as soon as I begin my science work	SSE_stresshw			
22. My mind goes blank and I am unable to think	SSE_blankmind ed			

clearly when doing				
science work				
23. I get depressed when I think about learning science	SSE_depressing			
24. My whole body becomes tense when I have to do science	SSE_bodytense			

## Science Self Efficacy (SSE) Scoring

\* Reverse scored items

3. Even when I study very hard, I do poorly in science (ME) (SSE\_hardstudy\_RevSc) 19. Just being in science class makes feel stressed and nervous (PH)

(SSE\_classstress\_RevSc)

20. Doing science work takes all of my energy (PH) (SSE\_exhausting\_RevSc)

21. I start to feel stressed-out as soon as I begin my science work (PH)

(SSE\_stresshw\_RevSc)

22. My mind goes blank and I am unable to think clearly when doing science work (PH) (SSE\_blankminded\_RevSc)

23. I get depressed when I think about learning science (PH) (SSE\_depressing\_RevSc)

24. My whole body becomes tense when I have to do science (PH)

(SSE\_bodytense\_RevSc)

RECODE SSE\_hardstudy SSE\_classstress SSE\_exhausting SSE\_stresshw SSE\_blankminded SSE\_depressing SSE\_bodytense (1=4) (2=3) (3=2) (4=1) INTO SSE\_hardstudy\_RevSc SSE\_classstress\_RevSc SSE\_exhausting\_RevSc SSE\_stresshw\_RevSc SSE\_blankminded\_RevSc SSE\_depressing\_RevSc SSE\_bodytense\_RevSc.

#### ME: Mastery Experience; (Q1+Q2+Q3\*+Q4+Q5+Q6)

- 1. I make excellent grades on science tests (ME) (SSE\_scitest)
- 2. I have always been successful with science (ME) (SSE\_success)
- 3. Even when I study very hard, I do poorly in science (ME) (SSE\_hardstudy\_RevSc)
- 4. I got good grades in science on my last report card (ME) (SSE\_scigrades)
- 5. I do well on science assignments (ME) (SSE sciassignments)
- 6. I do well on even the most difficult science assignments (ME) (SSE\_difficultassign)

SSE\_ME=SSE\_scitest+SSE\_success+SSE\_hardstudy\_RevSc+SSE\_scigrades+SSE\_sciassign ment+SSE\_difficultassign

#### VE: Vicarious Experience; (Q7-Q12)

- 7. Seeing adults do well in science pushes me to do better (VA) (SSE\_rolemodels)
- 8. When I see how my science teacher solves a problem, I can picture myself solving the problem in the same way (VA) (SSE\_observelearning)
- 9. Seeing kids do better than me in science pushes me to do better (VP) (SSE\_peerpush)
- 10. When I see how another student solves a science problem, I can see myself solving the problem in the same way (VP) (SSE\_mirrorpeer)
- 11.1 imagine myself working through challenging science problems successfully (VS) (SSE\_scichallprobs)
- 12.1 compete with myself in science (VS) (SSE\_selfcompetition)

SSE\_VE= SSE\_rolemodels+SSE\_observelearning+SSE\_peerpush+SSE\_mirrorpeer+ SSE\_scichallprobs+SSE\_selfcompetition

#### P: Social Persuasions; (Q13+Q14+Q15+Q16+Q17+Q18)

13. My science teachers have told that I am good at learning math (P) (SSE\_teachreassure)

- 14. People have told me that I have a talent for science (P) (SSE\_talentreassure)
- 15. Adults in my family have told me what a good science student I am (P) (SSE\_famreassure)
- 16. I have been praised for my ability in science (P) (SSE\_scipraise)

17. Other students have told me that I'm good at learning science (P) (SSE\_peergoodlearn)

18. My classmates like to work with me in science because they think I'm good at it (P) (SSE\_peersworkgood)

SSE\_P=SSE\_teachreassure+SSE\_talentreassure+SSE\_famreassure+SSE\_scipraise+SSE\_pe ergoodlearn+SSE\_peersworkgood

<u>PH: Physiological State;</u> (Q19\*+Q20\*+Q21\*+Q22\*+Q23\*+Q24\*)

- 19. Just being in science class makes feel stressed and nervous (PH)
- (SSE\_classstress\_RevSc)
- 20. Doing science work takes all of my energy (PH) (SSE\_exhausting\_RevSc)
- 21. I start to feel stressed-out as soon as I begin my science work (PH) (SSE\_stresshw\_RevSc)
- 22. My mind goes blank and I am unable to think clearly when doing science work (PH) (SSE\_blankminded\_RevSc)
- 23. I get depressed when I think about learning science (PH) (SSE\_depressing\_RevSc)
- 24. My whole body becomes tense when I have to do science (PH) (SSE\_bodytense\_RevSc)

SSE\_PH=SSE\_classstress\_RevSc+SSE\_exhausting\_RevSc+SSE\_stresshw\_RevSc+SSE\_bla nkminded\_RevSc+SSE\_depressing\_RevSc+SSE\_bodytense\_RevSc

Global Score: SSE\_Total= SSE\_ME+SSE\_VA+SSE\_VP+SSE\_VS+SSE\_P+SSE\_PH

## Mindset-short

Sources:

Paunesku D, Walton GM, Romero C, Smith EN, Yeager DS, Dweck CS. Mind-Set Interventions Are a Scalable Treatment for Academic Underachievement (2015). Psychological Science, 26(6), 784-793. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615571017</u>

Growth mindset for intelligence. (n.d.). Retrieved from <u>https://nationalmentoringresourcecenter.org/index.php/toolkit/item/268-growth-mindset-for-intelligence.html</u>

Read each sentence below and mark the choice that shows how much you agree with it. There are no right or wrong answers.

	Variable Name	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Somewhat disagree (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)	Strongly Agree (6)
1. You can learn new things, but you can't really change your basic intelligence.	Mind_basic I						
2. Your intelligence is something about you that you can't change very much.	Mind_noch angel						
3. You have a certain amount of intelligence and you really can't do much to change it.	Mind_fixedI						
4. In general, being a scientist is an important part of my self-image.	Mind_selfi mage						
5. Science is too hard when it involves math	Mind_math						

## Mindset-short Scoring

\*Reverse scored items

1. You can learn new things, but you can't really change your basic intelligence. (ES) (Mind\_basicl\_RevSc)

2. Your intelligence is something about you that you can't change very much. (ES) (Mind nochangel RevSc)

3. You have a certain amount of intelligence and you really can't do much to change it. (ES) (Mind\_fixedI\_RevSc)

RECODE Mind\_basicI Mind\_nochangel Mind\_fixedI (1=6) (2=5) (3=4) (4=3) (5=2) (6=1)INTO Mind\_basicI\_RevSc Mind\_nochangeI\_RevSc Mind\_fixedI\_RevSc.

Mindset short= Mind\_basicl\_RevSc + Mind\_nochangel\_RevSc + Mind\_fixedI\_RevSc

IS: Incremental Self; (Q4)

4. In general, being a scientist is an important part of my self-image. (Mind\_selfimage)

Scoring: MS\_IS= Mind\_selfimage

ES: Entity Self; (Q1\*+Q2\*+Q3+Q5)

1. You can learn new things, but you can't really change your basic intelligence. (ES) (Mind\_basicl\_RevSc)

- 2. Your intelligence is something about you that you can't change very much. (ES) (Mind\_nochangel\_RevSc)
- 3. You have a certain amount of intelligence and you really can't do much to change it. (ES) (Mind\_fixedI\_RevSc)
- 4. Science is too hard when it involves math. (ES) (Mind\_math)

Scoring: MS\_ES= Mind\_basicl\_RevSc+Mind\_nochangel\_RevSc+ Mind\_fixedI\_RevSc+ Mind\_math

Global Score: MS\_Total=MS\_IS+MS\_ES

## **Science Identity**

#### Source:

Robnett, R. D., Chemers, M. M., & Zurbriggen, E. L. (2015). Longitudinal associations among undergraduates' research experience, self-efficacy, and identity. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(6), 847-867

The following questions ask how you think about yourself and your personal identity. We want to understand how much you think that being a scientist is part of who you are. Tell us how much you agree or disagree with the statements below.

	Variable Name	Disagree strongly (1)	Disagree somewhat (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree somewhat (4)	Agree strongly (5)
1. In general, being a scientist is an important part of my self-image.	ident_image					
2. I have a strong sense of belonging to the community of scientists.	ident_belongc omm					
3. Being a scientist is an important reflection of who I am.	ident_reflect					
4. I have come to think of myself as a "scientist."	ident_think					
5. I feel like I belong in the field of science.	ident_belongfi eld					
6. I am a scientist.	ident scientist					

## **Science Identity Scoring**

Global Score: Sum of ident\_image, ident\_belongcomm, ident\_reflect, ident\_think, ident\_belongfield, ident\_scientist

## Motivation (SDT-Science)

The Self-Determination Theory scale (SDT at work) was adapted by L.K. Marriott, A. Charbonneau, and J. Bouwma-Gearhardt for the domain of science based on work from Deci et al., 2001.

#### Sources:

Deci, E.L., Ryan, R.M., Gagné, M., Leone, D.R., Usunov, J., & Kornazheva, B.P. (2001). Need Satisfaction, Motivation, and Well-Being in the Work Organizations of a Former Eastern Bloc Country: A Cross-Cultural Study of Self-Determination. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27*(8), 930-942. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167201278002</u>

These questions refer to the way you feel when you are doing science. Please indicate how true each of the following statement is for you given your experiences.

When I Am Doing Science…	Variable Name	1 Not true at all	2	3	4 Somewhat true	5	6	7 Very true
1. I feel like I can make a lot of inputs to deciding how my science work gets done.	SDT_inputs							
2. I really like the people in science with me	SDT_likepeo ple							
3. I do not feel very competent (capable) when I am doing science	SDT_compet ent							
<ol> <li>People in science tell me I am good at what I do.</li> </ol>	SDT_goodat							
5. I feel pressure in science	SDT_pressur e							
6. I get along with people in science	SDT_alongpe pole							
7. I pretty much keep to myself when I am doing science	SDT_keepsel f							
8. I am free to express my ideas and opinions in science.	SDT_freeexpr ess							
<ol> <li>I consider the people in science to be my friends.</li> </ol>	SDT_scifrien ds							
10. I have been able to learn interesting new skills in science	SDT_newskill s							
11. When I am doing science, I have to do what I am told.	SDT_dowhatt old							
12. Most days I feel a sense of	SDT_accomp lishment							

accomplishment from doing science.					
13. My feelings are taken into consideration in science	SDT_feelings				
14. In science I do not get much of a chance to show how capable I am.	SDT_capabilit y				
15. People in science care about me.	SDT_careme				
16. There are not many people in science that I am close to.	SDT_closene ss				
17. I feel like I can pretty much be myself in science	SDT_beself				
18. The people in science do not seem to like me much.	SDT_unlike				
19. When I am doing science I often do not feel very capable.	SDT_incapab le				
20. There is not much opportunity for me to decide for myself how to go about my science work.	SDT_nochoic e				
21. People in science are pretty friendly towards me.	SDT_friendly				

## **Motivation (SDT-Science) Scoring**

\*Reverse scored items

- 3. I do not feel very competent (capable) when I am doing science (C)
- (SDT\_competent\_RevSc)
- 5. I feel pressure in science (C) (SDT\_pressure\_RevSc)
- 7. I pretty much keep to myself when I am doing science (R) (SDT\_keepself\_RevSc)
- 14. In science I do not get much of a chance to show how capable I am. (C)
- (SDT\_capability\_RevSc)
- 16. There are not many people in science that I am close to. (R) (SDT\_closeness\_RevSc)
- 18. The people in science do not seem to like me much. (R) (SDT\_unlike\_RevSc)
- 19. When I am doing science I often do not feel very capable. (C) (SDT\_incapable\_RevSc)

RECODE SDT\_competent SDT\_pressure SDT\_keepself SDT\_capability SDT\_closeness SDT\_unlike SDT\_incapable (1=4) (2=3) (3=2) (4=1) INTO SDT\_competent\_RevSc SDT\_pressure\_RevSc SDT\_keepself\_RevSc SDT\_capability\_RevSc SDT\_closeness\_RevSc SDT\_unlike\_RevSc SDT\_incapable\_RevSc.

## <u>A: Autonomy;</u> (Q1+Q5\*+Q8+Q11+Q13+Q17+Q20)

1. I feel like I can make a lot of inputs to deciding how my science work gets done. (A) (SDT\_inputs)

5. I feel pressure in science (C) (SDT\_pressure\_RevSc)

8. I am free to express my ideas and opinions in science. (A) (SDT\_freeexpress)

11. When I am doing science, I have to do what I am told. (A) (SDT\_dowhattold)

13. My feelings are taken into consideration in science (A) (SDT feelings)

17. I feel like I can pretty much be myself in science (A) (SDT\_beself)

20. There is not much opportunity for me to decide for myself how to go about my science work. (A) (SDT\_nochoice)

SDT\_A=SDT\_inputs+SDT\_pressure\_RevSc+SDT\_freeexpress+SDT\_dowhattold+SDT\_feelings +SDT\_beself+SDT\_nochoice

<u>C: Competence;</u> (Q3\*+Q4+Q10+Q12+Q14\*+Q19)

3. I do not feel very competent (capable) when I am doing science (C)

(SDT\_competent\_RevSc)

4. People in science tell me I am good at what I do. (C) (SDT\_goodat)

10. I have been able to learn interesting new skills in science (C) (SDT\_newskills)

12. Most days I feel a sense of accomplishment from doing science. (C)

(SDT\_accomplishment)

14. In science I do not get much of a chance to show how capable I am. (C)

(SDT\_capability\_RevSc)

19. When I am doing science often do not feel very capable. (C) (SDT\_incapable\_RevSc)

SDT\_C=SDT\_competent\_RevSc+SDT\_goodat+SDT\_newskills+SDT\_accomplishment+SDT\_ca pability\_RevSc+SDT\_incapable\_RevSc

## <u>R: Relatedness;</u> (Q2+Q6+Q7\*+Q9+Q15+Q16+Q18+Q21)

- 2. I really like the people in science with me (R) (SDT\_likepeople)
- 6. I get along with people in science (R) (SDT\_alongpepole)
- 7. I pretty much keep to myself when I am doing science (R) (SDT\_keepself\_RevSc)
- 9. I consider the people in science to be my friends. (R) (SDT\_scifriends)

15. People in science care about me. (R) (SDT\_careme)

- 16. There are not many people in science that I am close to. (R) (SDT\_closeness\_RevSc)
- 18. The people in science do not seem to like me much. (R) (SDT\_unlike\_RevSc)
- 21. People in science are pretty friendly towards me. (R) (SDT\_friendly)

SDT\_R=SDT\_likepeople+SDT\_alongpepole+SDT\_keepself\_RevSc+SDT\_scifriends+SDT\_care me+SDT\_closeness\_RevSc+SDT\_unlike\_RevSc+SDT\_friendly

Global Score: SDT\_Total=SDT\_A+SDT\_C+SDT\_R

## Attitudes about biomedical research

Source: Cameron, W.E. (2005). Teacher Institute of the Experience of Science. National Center for Research Resources, R25RR020443. Accessed January 16, 2022 from <u>https://nihsepa.org/project/teacher-institute-for-the-experience-of-science/</u>

works, how to help keep us healthy, and how to treat people who have medical problems."									
Please fill in one response fo	or each item. Variable	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly			
	Name	Agree	Agree	Heutidi	Disagree	Disagree			
1. I have a good understanding of the process of testing new cancer treatments.	Att_undtestin g								
2. I have a good understanding of the reasons for involving human subjects in cancer research.	Att_undreaso ns								
3. I have a good understanding of the rules regarding the participation of human subjects in cancer research	Att_undrules								
4. The media (websites, TV, newspaper) is a reliable source of information about biomedical research.	Att_media								
5. School teachers are a reliable source of information about biomedical research.	Att_teachers								
6. Scientists are a reliable source of information about biomedical research.	Att_scientists								
7. Advances in biomedical research depend on animal studies.	Att_advhuma n								
8. Advances in biomedical research depend on human studies.	Att_advanim al								

## Attitudes about biomedical research scoring

Global Score: Sum of att\_undtesting, att\_undreasons, att\_undrules, att\_media, att\_teachers, att\_scientists, att\_advhuman, att\_advanimal

## **STEM Interest**

Sources:

Lent, R. W., Brown, S. D., Schmidt, J., Brenner, B., Lyons, H., & Treistman, D. (2003). Relation of contextual supports and barriers to choice behavior in engineering majors: Test of alternative social cognitive models. Journal of counseling psychology, 50(4), 458. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.50.4.458

Byars-Winston, A., Estrada, Y., Howard, C., Davis, D. & Zalapa, J.(2010). Influence of social cognitive and ethnic variables on academic goals of underrepresented students in science and engineering: a multiple-groups analysis. J Couns Psychol 57, 205-218, doi:10.1037/a0018608

Some items were adapted by Knight Scholars Program faculty for use with this project.

Please indicate your degree of interest in studying each of the following topics. Use the scale below to show how interested you are in each topic.

	JW IIILEIESLEU	you are in t	Each lopic.			
	Variable Name	Very Low Interest (1)	Low interest (2)	Neutral (3)	High interest (4)	Very High Interest (5)
1. Science	Int_sci					
2. Statistics	Int stat					
3. Chemistry	Int chem					
4. Physics	Int phys					
5. Math	Int_math					
6. Computer science	Int_compsci					
7. Engineering	Int_eng					
<ol> <li>Biomedical research*</li> </ol>	Int_biomedres					
9. Cancer research*	Int_cancerres					

\*Items #8 and 9 added to scale by LKM

Now, please indicate your degree of interest in doing each of the following activities								
	Variable Name	Very Low Interest (1)	Low interest (2)	Neutral (3)	High interest (4)	Very High Interest (5)		
10. Solving practical math or science problems	Int_solveprac							
11. Reading articles or books about scientific issues	Int_readsci							
12. Solving computer software problems	Int_solvecom put							
<ol> <li>Working on a project involving lots of math or science</li> </ol>	Int_projlots							
14. Solving complicated math or science problems	Int_solvecom plic							
15. Learning new computer programs	Int_newcomp ut							

16. Working on a project involving scientific concepts	Int_projcon			
17. Working in biomedical research IN a laboratory*	Int_inlab			
18. Working in biomedical research OUTSIDE of a laboratory*^	Int_outlab			

\*Items #17 and 18 added to scale by LKM. ^Outside of a laboratory is defined for students: "(such as clinical nurses, clinical trial coordinators, grant managers, computational biologists, technology transfer officials, genetic counselors, science teachers, statisticians, exercise scientists, radiation therapists, science policy analysts, regulatory officials, veterinarians, clinical dietitians, computer programmers working with big data and personalized medicine, public health workers, etc.)"

How likely would you say you are to:	Variable Name	Very unlikely (1)	Somewhat unlikely (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat likely (4)	Very likely (5)
Pursue a college degree?	Likely_college					
Pursue a field of study in science, technology, engineering or math?	Likely_stem					
Work in a science lab	Likely_lab					
Want to go to graduate school?	Likely_gradsch					

## **Research Self-Efficacy (URSSA)**

Source:

Weston, T. J., & Laursen, S. L. (2015). The undergraduate research student self-assessment (URSSA): Validation for use in program evaluation. CBE—Life Sciences Education, 14(3), ar33.<u>https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.14-11-0206</u>

Undergraduate Research Student Self-Assessment (URSSA): <u>https://spot.colorado.edu/~laursen/accessURSSA.html</u> <u>https://www.colorado.edu/eer/sites/default/files/attached-files/urssa\_master\_reviewcopy.pdf</u>

Intervention group prompt: How much did you GAIN in the following areas as a result of your OHSU summer research experience?

Comparison group prompt: How much did you GAIN in the following areas this summer?

	Variable Name	No Gains (0)	A Little Gain (1)	Moderate Gain (2)	Good Gain (3)	Great Gain (4)
Confidence in my ability to contribute to science.	Urssa_contri b					
Comfort in discussing scientific concepts with others	Urssa_discu ss					
Comfort in working collaboratively with others.	Urssa_collab					
Confidence in my ability to do well in future science courses.	Urssa_dowel I					
Understanding what everyday research work is like.	Urssa_every day					

## Free Response Intervention Group Free Response

How did your summer research experience influence your thinking about future career and graduate school plans? Please explain. (Free response)

## **Comparison Group Questions**

Has your summer experience included any science or research?

- Yes
- No

Please describe what you have been doing this summer. (Free response)

How has your summer experience influenced your thinking about your future career and graduate school plans? Please explain. (Free response)

# Participant Communication Introduction Emails

#### **KSP Introduction Cohort Pre-survey**

Subject: Please Complete the Knight Scholars Program PRE Survey

Hello [first\_name],

Congratulations and welcome to the Knight Scholars Introduction Program! Your responsibilities as a Knight Scholar include completing a pre- and post-evaluation survey. The pre-program survey is now available at the link below.

Please complete this survey by **Sunday at 5 pm**. The survey will take approximately 10-20 minutes. There will be computers available in the dorm to take the survey, or you may complete it on your own time beforehand.

If you have any trouble opening or understanding the survey, please let a Knight Scholars Program contact know.

Thank you,

Knight Scholars Program

You may open the survey in your web browser by clicking the link below: [survey-link]

If the link above does not work, try copying the link below into your web browser: [survey-url]

This link is unique to you and should not be forwarded to others.

#### KSP Introduction Cohort Post-survey

Subject: Please Complete the Knight Scholars Program POST Survey

Hello [first\_name],

Thank you for participating in the Knight Scholars Introduction Program! Your responsibilities as a Knight Scholar include completing a post-evaluation survey, now available at the link below.

Please complete this survey by **Saturday at noon**. The survey will take approximately 5-10 minutes. You may complete the survey during the time provided on Friday or on your own time before you leave.

If you have any trouble opening or understanding the survey, please let a Knight Scholars Program contact know.

Thank you,

Knight Scholars Program

You may open the survey in your web browser by clicking the link below: [survey-link]

If the link above does not work, try copying the link below into your web browser: [survey-url]

This link is unique to you and should not be forwarded to others.

#### **KSP Introduction Comparison Cohort Survey**

Subject: Complete this survey for a \$15 eGift Card to \_\_\_\_\_

Dear [first\_name],

Thank you for your interest in the Knight Scholars Program last spring. We are reaching out to all students who have indicated interest in the program because we are trying to understand how students feel about science and research.

Please help us by taking the Science and Research Survey at the link below. The survey will take approximately 10-20 minutes.

If you complete the survey by August 30, you will receive a \$15 eGift Card.

This survey is sent to all students who applied to the Knight Scholars Program. We hope that you will apply to the Knight Scholars Program next year. Participation in this survey will not impact your chances of acceptance next year.

If you have any questions about the survey, please contact knightscholars@ohsu.edu.

Thank you,

Knight Scholars Program

You may open the survey in your web browser by clicking the link below: [survey-link]

If the link above does not work, try copying the link below into your web browser: [survey-url]

This link is unique to you and should not be forwarded to others.

#### **Immersion Emails**

#### KSP Immersion Cohort Pre-survey

Subject: Please Complete the Knight Scholars Program PRE Survey

Hello [first\_name],

Congratulations and welcome to the Knight Scholars Introduction Program! Your responsibilities as a Knight Scholar include completing a pre- and post-evaluation survey. The pre-program survey is now available at the link below.

Please complete this survey by **Sunday 6/20 at 5 pm**. The survey will take approximately 10-20 minutes. There will be computers available in the dorm to take the survey, or you may complete it on your own time beforehand.

If you have any trouble opening or understanding the survey, please let a Knight Scholars Program contact know.

Thank you,

Knight Scholars Program

You may open the survey in your web browser by clicking the link below: [survey-link]

If the link above does not work, try copying the link below into your web browser: [survey-url]

This link is unique to you and should not be forwarded to others.

#### KSP Immersion Cohort Post-survey

Subject: Please Complete the Knight Scholars Program POST Survey

Hello [first\_name],

Thank you for participating in the Knight Scholars Introduction Program! Your responsibilities as a Knight Scholar include completing a post-evaluation survey, now available at the link below.

Please complete this survey by **Saturday at noon**. The survey will take approximately 5-10 minutes. You may complete the survey during the time provided on Friday or on your own time before you leave.

If you have any trouble opening or understanding the survey, please let a Knight Scholars Program contact know.

Thank you,

Knight Scholars Program

You may open the survey in your web browser by clicking the link below: [survey-link]

If the link above does not work, try copying the link below into your web browser: [survey-url]

This link is unique to you and should not be forwarded to others.

#### **KSP Introduction Comparison Cohort Survey**

Subject: Complete this survey for a \$15 eGift Card

Dear [first\_name],

Thank you for your interest in the Knight Scholars Program last spring. We are reaching out to all students who have indicated interest in the program because we are trying to understand how students feel about science and research.

Please help us by taking the Science and Research Survey at the link below. The survey will take approximately 10-20 minutes.

If you complete the survey by August 30, you will receive a \$15 eGift Card.

This survey is sent to all students who applied to the Knight Scholars Program. We hope that you will apply to the Knight Scholars Program next year. Participation in this survey will not impact your chances of acceptance next year.

If you have any questions about the survey, please contact knightscholars@ohsu.edu.

Thank you,

Knight Scholars Program

You may open the survey in your web browser by clicking the link below: [survey-link]

If the link above does not work, try copying the link below into your web browser: [survey-url]

This link is unique to you and should not be forwarded to others.

## **Scientist Evaluation of Immersion Lab Rotations**

Thank you for hosting scholars in your lab! We're trying to learn how to improve the experience for the future, particularly since the shadowing was virtual this summer. We are expecting it to be in-person next summer. All responses are anonymous.

	Strongly disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neither agree nor disagree (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Strongly agree (5)
1) I felt prepared to host scholars in the lab	0	0	0	0	0
2) The Knight Scholars program helped me understand what to talk about with scholars	0	0	0	0	ο
3) The shadowing duration (2 half days) was reasonable	0	0	0	Ο	ο
4) The amount of time needed to prepare was too much	0	0	ο	ο	о
5) The virtual setting worked fine for describing our work	0	0	ο	Ο	ο
6) I would host scholars again in the future	0	0	ο	ο	о
7) I would recommend this experience to other labs	0	0	ο	ο	0

For hosting scholars in your lab...

What can we do to improve our preparation of labs for what to expect? (or if something worked well for you, please let us know so we can continue it)

How can we improve the actual shadowing experience?

What would be the ideal number of scholars placed in your lab?

- For a virtual lab shadow (like this summer)? \_
- For a in-person lab shadow (like next summer)? \_\_\_\_\_\_

Was having a peer mentor attend your lab rotation helpful? Should we keep that again in the future?

- Definitely keep peer mentors in lab rotations (1)
- Likely keep (2)
- No preference (3)
- Likely don't keep (4)
- Definitely don't keep peer mentors attending lab rotations with scholars (5)

What else do you want us to know?